

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,952	04/12/2004	Philip James Ions	T2211-9059US01	2378	
181	7590 02/13/2	06	EXAMINER		
	TOCKBRIDGE PO	KASTLER, SCOTT R			
SUITE 500	CLE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-3833			1742		
			DATE MAILED: 02/13/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action							
Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	•					

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/821,952	IONS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Scott Kastler	1742	

before the rilling of all Appear brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	-Scott Kastler	1742			
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 31 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.	•			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to the statutory period for reply expires to the statutory period for reply expires on:	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (	(PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):	•			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		•			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1 and 4-29.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a Ni	otice of Appeal will be	t ha antarad		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.		
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)			
10. Li Ottor					
		J W V			
		Scott Kastler Primary Examiner			

Art Unit: 1742

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that Burrow does not teach vertically separating the varoius acess points and platforms is not persuasive because, as stated in the final rejection, Burrow teaches a vertically lower tapping means below the slag and metal tapping means for example..